

SEPTEMBER 2021 Vol.19 No.S2



Editors-in-Chief Dusko Bjelica | University of Montenegro, Montenegro Zoran Milosevic | University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Managing Editor Jovan Gardasevic | University of Montenegro, Montenegro

> Young Managing Editor Borko Katanic | University of Nis, Serbia

Guest Editors

Olha Borysova | National University of Ukraine on Physical Education and Sport, Ukraine Oleksandr Krasilshchikov | Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Myroslav Dutchak | National University of Ukraine on Physical Education and Sport, Ukraine

Editorial Board

Ajit Korgaokar | The University of Tennessee at Martin, USA Ana Kezic | University of Split, Croatia Arben Kacurri | Institute of Sport Science Research, Albania Branimir Mikic | University of Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina Damjan Jaksic | University of Novi Sad, Serbia Georgi Georgiev | University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Macedonia Izet Bajramovic | University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina Junichiro Yamauchi | Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan Mia Peric | University of Split, Croatia Milan Coh | University of Ljubljana, Slovenia Miroslav Kezunovic | University of Montenegro, Montenegro Radenko Matic | University of Novi Sad, Serbia Selcuk Akpinar | Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University, Turkey Srdjan Redzepagic | University Nice Sophia Antipolis, France Stevo Popovic | University of Montenegro, Montenegro Stig Arve Sæther | Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway Ugur Odek | Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University, Turkey

Index Coverage

Scopus; DOAJ; SCImago; SPORTDiscus; Index Copernicus; ERIH PLUS; Open Academic Journals Index; Google Scholar; Crossref; ROAD; SHERPA/RoMEO; NLM Catalog

Prepress Milicko Ceranic

Print Art Grafika | Niksic

> Print run ⁵⁰







SPORT MONT

International Scientific Journal

Vol. 19(2021), No.S2 (1-229)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Olha Borysova and Oleksandr Krasilshchikov (Editorial)	
Sustainability and Legacy in Sport: Ukraine Educational and Sport Scenario	
Tetiana Vozniuk, Natalia Shchepotina, Yulia Koliadych, Nataliia Lazarenko, Olena Ihnatova, Nataliia Baiurko, Viktor Kostiukevych, Nataliia Svirshchuk, Maksym Perepelytsia and Nadiya Chyzhevska	
(Original Scientific Paper) Methods of Primary Selection of Young Football Players	
Wang Leibo, Gennadii Lisenchuk, Ivan Stasiuk, Arkadiusz Marzec, Gennadii Zhigadlo, Vitalii Leleka, Konstantin Bogatyrev, Viktor Derkach, Oksana Adamenko and Oleg Slavitiak (Original Scientific Paper)	
Training Process Structure of Highly Skilled Players in Mini-Football during the Competitive Period	
Andrii Diachenko, Wang Leibo, Gennadii Lisenchuk, Lolita Denysova and Sergii Lysenchuk (Original Scientific Paper)	
Football Players' "Cardiorespiratory System and Intermittent Endurance" Test	
Andrii Diachenko, Guo Pengcheng, Nataliia Yevpak, Olga Rusanova, Sergii Kiprych and Yuriy Shkrebtiy (Original Scientific Paper) Neurohumoral Components of Rapid Reaction Kinetics of the Cardio-Respiratory System of Kayakers	
Vitaly Kashuba, Irene Khmelnitska, Olena Andrieieva, Svitlana Krupenya, Veronika Denysenko and Inna Horodinska (Original Scientific Paper) Biogeometric Profile of the Posture as a Factor of Men's Functional Assessment of Movements in the Early Middle Age	
Viktor Kostiukevych, Nataliia Lazarenko, Natalia Shchepotina, Tetiana Vozniuk, Oksana Shynkaruk, Valentina Voronova, Tamara Kutek, Stanislav Konnov, Ivan Stasiuk, Kateryna Poseletska and Volodymyr Dobrynskiy (Original Scientific Paper) Factor Analysis of Special Qualities of Elite Field Hockey Players	41-47
Valerii Nikolaienko, Mykhailo Vorobiov, Taras Chopilko, Ihor Khimich and Vadym Parakhonko (Review paper)	
Aspects of Increasing Efficiency of Young Football Players Physical Training Process	
Tetiana Petrovska, Nataliia Kulish, Viktor Kostiukevych, Ruslana Sluhenska, Nataliia Reshetilova and Alyona Yerokhova (Original Scientific Paper)	
Research of Emotional Intelligence as a Psychological Resource of an Athlete	57-61

al., 2019). In this case, we are talking about taking into account the specific components of the functional support of special working capacity - a high speed of deployment of reactions, a steady state and compensation for fatigue (Mishchenko & Suchanowski 2010).Depending on the duration and intensity of competitive activity (Nikonorov, 2015), functional readiness (Mishchenko & Suchanowski, 2010), individual reactivity of athletes to neurogenic, hypoxic and acidemic stimuli (conditions that accompany athletes in the process of performing competitive loads) differ in the structure of the reaction and parameters of athletes' performance (Warren, 1986; Miyamoto, Nakazono, & Yamakoshi, 1987; Mishchenko, Lysenko, & Vinogradov, 2007).

The body's response to physiological stimulus forms an individual structure of the functional support of special working capacity, which depends both on the individual capabilities of athletes and on the course of adaptation processes under the influence of training loads that simulate these states. Determination the parameters of such work is possible only on the basis of a comprehensive ergometric and physiological testing, which will make it possible to determine the parameters of work in accordance with the level of reaction and use the registered parameters in the process of standardizing the modes of training work (Withers, Van der Ploeg, & Finn, 1993). An important role is played by the accuracy of measuring the indices of special performance in accordance with the response of the cardiorespiratory system and the energy supply in the process of modeling a specific distance (Ward, Lamarra, & Whipp, 1996).

The proposed methodology allows to allocate the leading components of the functional support of special working capacity, to differentiate the conditions for their registration and to determine the parameters of training work in accordance with the individual body's response to the load. Standardization of measurement conditions allows to control changes in special working capacity during the training period, to check

Acknowledgements

There are no acknowledgements.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Received: 25 October 2020 | Accepted: 11 December 2020 | Published: 01 September 2021

References

- Bourdin, M., Messonnier, L., Hager, J. P., & Lacour, J. R. (2004). Peak power output predicts rowing ergometer performance in elite male rowers. *International journal of sports medicine*, 25(5), 368–373. https://doi. org/10.1055/s-2004-815844
- Bourgois, J., & Vrijens, J. (1998). Metabolic and cardiorespiratory responses in young oarsmen during prolonged exercise tests on a rowing ergometer at power outputs corresponding to two concepts of anaerobic threshold. European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology, 77(1-2), 164–169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004210050315
- Carrasco, P. L., Martinez Diaz, C. I., De Hoyo, L. M., Sanudo Corrales, B., & Ochiana, N. (2010). Reliability and validity of a discontinuous graded exercise test on Dansprint [R] ergometer. Ovidiu's University Annals, Series Physical Education and Sport/Science, Movement and Health, 10(2), 148.
- Dal Monte, A., Faina, M., & Menchinelli, C. (1992). Sport-Specific Ergometric equipment. Endurance in sport. *Blackwell scient. publ.*, 201-209.
- De Klerk, R., Velhorst, V., Veeger, D., van der Woude, L. H. V., & Riemer Vegter, J. K. (2020). Physiological and biomechanical comparison of overground, treadmill, and ergometer hand rim wheelchair propulsion in ablebodied subjects under standardized conditions. *Journal Neuroeng* Rehabil., *17*, 136. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-00767-2
- Diachenko, A., Guo, P., Wang, W., Rusanova, O., Xianglin, K., & Shkrebtiy, Y. (2020). Characteristics of the power of aerobic energy supply for paddlers with high qualification in China. *Journal of physical education*

cumulative changes in functional capabilities after a certain period of the training cycle of athletes.

The technique allows to develop and apply in practice types of load, which allow the directed development of the power of anaerobic alactic and lactic energy supply, the power of aerobic energy supply, the possibilities of compensation of fatigue. Optimization of parameters of work and reaction of an organism according to "dose-effect" of influence will allow to stimulate necessary level of the body's reaction, as well as perform the required amount of training work in accordance with the requirements of the structure of the functional support of special working capacity, taking into account the group differences in athletes' readiness.

Obviously, in the process of developing training programs, it is necessary to take into account general and special factors that affect the formation of a specialized orientation. In the process of developing training programs, at the very beginning, it is necessary to determine the structure of the functional support of special working capacity in accordance with the structure of the competitive distance, to highlight its leading components and the conditions for their implementation. After that, develop test tasks in accordance with the conditions for the implementation of the components of the functional support of special operability. As a result of testing, register the indicators of ergometric power and physiological characteristics of the reaction. In the process of modeling training loads, use individual indicators of ergometric power of work in accordance with the recorded characteristics of work.

Conclusion

Ergometry is an effective tool for the development and implementation of the body's functional reserves. This modern method of control and management of training loads allows you to determine the parameters of training work in accordance with the individual level of the body's response to the load.

and sport, 20(supplement issue 1), 312–317.

- Droghetti, P., Bonsetto, C., Casoni, I., Cellini, M., Ferrari, M., Paolini, A. R, Ziglio, P. G., & Conconi, F. (1985). Noninvasive determination of the anaerobic threshold in canoing, cross-country skiing, cycling, roller, ice skating, rowing, and walking. *European journal of applied physiology*, 53, 299-303.
- Hill, D. W. (1993). The critical power concept: a review. Journal of Sport Medicine, 16(4), 237-54.
- Lysenko, E., Shinkaruk, O., & Samuilenko, V. (2004). Features of the functional capabilities of highly qualified kayak and canoe rowers. *Science in Olympic sports*, *2*, 55-61.
- Mac Dougall, J., Wenger, H., & Green, H. (1991). *Physiological testing of the high-performance athlete*. Human Kinetic Books. Champaign (Illinois).
- Mishchenko, V., & Suchanowski, A. (2010). Athlete's endurance and fatigue characteristics related to adaptability of specific cardiorespiratory reactivity. Gdansk, AWFIS, 176.
- Mishchenko, V. S., Lysenko, E. N., & Vinogradov, B. E. (2007). Reactive properties of the cardiorespiratory system as a reflection of adaptation to strenuous physical training in sports: monograph. Kiev, *Naukoviy svit*, 352.
- Miyamoto, Y., Nakazono, Y., & Yamakoshi, K. (1987). Neurogenic factors affecting ventilatory and circulatory responses to static and dynamic exercise in man. *The Japanese journal of physiology*, 37(3), 435–446. https://doi.org/10.2170/jjphysiol.37.435
- Nikonorov, A. (2015). Power development in sprint canoeing. In: Isorna Folgar M, et al. *Training Sprint Canoe. 2.0 Editora*, 169-183.
- Michael, J. S., Rooney, K. B., & Smith, R. (2008). The metabolic demands of kayaking: a review. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, 7(1), 1–7.
- Paquette, M., Bieuzen, F., & Billaut, F. (2018). Muscle Oxygenation Rather Than VO₂max as a Strong Predictor of Performance in Sprint Canoe-Kayak. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2018-0077
- Šarabon, N., Kozinc, Ž., Babič, J., & Marković, G. (2019). Effect of Rowing Ergometer Compliance on Biomechanical and Physiological Indicators

during Simulated 2,000-metre Race. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, 18(2), 264–270.

- Steer, R. R., McGregor, A. H., & Bull, A. M. (2006). A comparison of kinematics and performance measures of two rowing ergometers. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, 5(1), 52–59.
- Vilaça-Alves, J., Freitas, N. M., Saavedra, F. J., Scott, C. B., Dos Reis, V. M., Simão, R., & Garrido, N. (2016). Comparison of oxygen uptake during and after the execution of resistance exercises and exercises performed on ergometers, matched for intensity. *Journal of human kinetics*, 53, 179–187. https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2016-0021
- Vogler, A. J., Rice, A. J., & Gore, C. J. (2010). Physiological responses to ergometer and on-water incremental Kayak tests. *International Journal* of Sports Physiology & Performance, 5(3), 342-58.
- Wang, W., Rusanova, O., & Diachenko, A. (2019). Control of the functional safety of special qualified paddlers for specialization in kayak and canoe paddles. *Theory and methodology of physical education and* sports, 2, 92-100.
- Ward, S. A., Lamarra, N., & Whipp, B. (1996). The control components of oxygen uptake kinetics during high intensity exercise in humans. *Book* of abstract (268-9).
- Warren, R. L. (1987). Oxygen uptake kinetics and lactate concentration during exercise in humans. Am. Rev. Respir. Disease, 135(5), 1080-1084.
- Withers, R. T., Van der Ploeg, G., & Finn, J. P. (1993). Oxygen deficits incurred during 45, 60, 75 and 90-s maximal cycling on an air-braked ergometer. European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology, 67(2), 185–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00376665



ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC PAPER

The Characteristics of Physical Fitness Related to Athletic Performance of Male and Female Sport Dancers

Chenguang Mu¹, Igor Soronovych¹, Andrii Diachenko¹, Olesia Khomiachenko¹, Svitlana Popova¹, Di Huang¹, Ivan Cherniavskyi¹, Olha Kaluzhna¹ and Oksana Boyko¹

¹National University of Physical Education and Sports of Ukraine, Department of Dance Kinds of Sports and Choreography, Kyiv, Ukraine

Abstract

The aim of this research was to define specific characteristics of physical fitness of dancers and couples of dancers when analyzing them in relation to their dancing efficiency indices. Quantitative and gualitative characteristics of functional power, fast kinetics and economy indicated high requirements for the high functionality of dancers-athletes. This can be seen from the indicators of the reaction power of the cardiorespiratory system and the energy supply of work. Differences of indicators: relative oxygen uptake (VO₂max); pulmonary ventilation (V_{r}); carbon dioxide production (VCO₂), anaerobic threshold (AT) for both partners were statistically significant (p<0.05). At the same time, high requirements have been set for the fast kinetics and economy of the reaction. It is shown that the quantitative characteristics of the fast kinetics: half-time reaction of oxygen uptake (T_{50} VO), pulmonary ventilation (T_{50} VE); carbon dioxide production (T_{50} VCO₂), heart rate (T50 HR) and cost-effectiveness characteristics: oxygen heart rate at maximal oxygen uptake (VO,/HR at VO, max), oxygen heart rate at anaerobic threshold (VO₂/HR at AT); ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at anaerobic threshold (VE/VCO, at AT); ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake (V_/VCO, at VO,max); ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at anaerobic threshold (VE/VO2 at AT); ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at maximal oxygen uptake (V_z/VO, at VO, max); oxygen uptake percentage at anaerobic threshold from maximal oxygen uptake (%VO_AT from VO_max⁻¹) between partners do not differ significantly. This made it possible to analyze the integral functional readiness of the pair and compare the characteristics of sportsmen-dancers of high and low qualifications.

Keywords: dancesport, aerobic power, efficiency, fast kinetics responses

Introduction

It is a well-known fact that sport training in every sport has specific requirements towards athlete's body, determined by the contents of the tournament program. In dancesport, duration of a dancing program is 7 to 8 minutes with 2 to 3 minutes rest interval between different dance types. At the prestigious dancing tournaments athletes complete the dancing program from three to seven times. In every round of a competition, the duration of Waltz, Tango, Foxtrot, Quickstep, Samba, Cha-cha-cha, and Paso Doble must be not less than minute and a half for each, and not less than a minute for Viennese Waltz and Jive. Dance tempo is from 28-30 bars per minute to 58-60 bars per minute in Standard program and from 25-27 bars per minute to 60-62 bars per minute in Latin program. All the above factors combined define high specific physical fitness requirements for dancers.

In recent years, high levels of power supply response indices during dance sport have been recorded and in individual



Correspondence: I. Soronovych

National University of Physical Education and Sport of Ukraine, Department of Choreography and Dance Kinds of Sport, Vulytsya Fizkultury 1, office 5-407, Kyiv-03150, Ukraine E-mail: soronovych@ukr.net

cases reached 60.9 \pm 6,0 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (VO₂ max) and 9.0 \pm 2.1 mmol·l⁻¹(La) (Bria et al., 2011; Beck, Wyon, & Redding, 2018).

Based on the provided data a notion about high strain of functions, and consequently, about possibly increasing role of fatigue buildup for specific performance of dancers was formed. Level and pattern of fatigue buildup are defined by high specific physical fitness. Its differences increase differences of partners' specific performance during competition. This may be evidenced by such indices of physical fitness as VO, max and capability of its realization along with an increasing fatigue during dance, change in pulmonary ventilation response and HR at anaerobic threshold and more. As a result of differences in key physical fitness characteristics, range of individual differences related to fatigue buildup may increase. For instance, a mismatch was observed between lactate-acidose levels reached during a Standard program dance $(8.5\pm2.3^{-1} - \text{men}, 8.3\pm3.9 \text{ mmol}\cdot l^{-1} - \text{women})$ and aerobic power (VO2 max), providing for the compensation of increasing acidemic shifts (45.8±6.0ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ -men, 38.0±8.5 ml·kg⁻¹ ¹·min⁻¹ – women) (Faina, Bria, Scarpellini, Gianfelici, & Felici, 2001). Fatigue was observed to have most significant influence in semifinal during the performance of the 4th and 5th dances of competition program. In the final, the fatigue appears and influences on the dancers' skills demonstration during the 2nd and 3rd dances (Dalla Vedova, Besi, Cacciari, Bria, & Faina, 2006; Rodrigues-Krause, Krause, & Reischak-Oliveira, 2015). However, existing data, in most cases, relates to stating the existence of high requirements towards energy supply of work performed and don't give a comprehensive description of specifics of such requirements towards functional capabilities of dancers. It is clear that it is hardly possible to limit their adequate assessment to defining maximum oxygen consumption and anaerobic threshold (Vissers et al., 2011; Beck, Redding, & Wyon, 2015). As stated, there is a necessity to single out other characteristics of functional capabilities specific for dancers. We were especially interested in analyzing differences between functional preparedness of male and female dancers. It is well known that these differences do influence the level of special efficiency and demonstration of dancers' skills (Lankford et al., 2014).

We preceded from the fact that physical fitness and dancers' ability to withstand fatigue are based on the increase in aerobic energy supply efficiency in the general energy balance of work considering its specific mode in dance sport. Interpretation of CRS response may be based on the evaluation of ability to quickly, adequately and fully respond to physical load typical for dancers during competitions (Lankford et al., 2014; Burzynska, Finc, Taylor, Knecht, & Kramer, 2017). Based on the above, criteria for specialized enhancement of physical fitness in both partners separately and a couple in general may be improved. Dedicated literature currently contains no such data. It could be based on studying the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of functional ability which deliver the high function deployment speed and long period of stable condition of functions. For that we will need to study the characteristics of fast kinetics and economy of dancers-athletes.

The aim of this study was to define high specific characteristics of dance physical fitness of individual dancers and couples when analyzing against dance performance indices taking into account possible differences of functional preparedness between partners. This can become a prerequisite for making physical and functional training more purpose oriented.

Methods

Subjects

The research included 24 dancers comprising of 12 couples: men of 22.8 ± 5.0 and women of 21.3 ± 4.2 years of age. The athletes formed a homogeneous national and international level in terms of their qualification. They all belonged to Ukraine's national dance sport team and were winners of prestigious international category A Tournaments. All of them had official tournament experience of 5.2-9.5 years. Training load within a week amounted to 12.5 ± 1.1 hours.

Research organization

The research took place during a period of preparation preceding a competition following the voluntary written consent of athletes and approval by the local commission on bioethics of scientific research. All experiment participants took no medication, doping, or other stimulating substances.

Test exercises

We used two test exercises. The first exercise (standard test) consisted of a steady activity – running with standard load at $3.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ for 6 minutes with a 0° incline of a treadmill. The second exercise consisted of a gradually increasing load on a treadmill according to VO₂ max measuring protocol (MacDougall, Wenger, & Green, 1991). The whole exercise included 4-5 subsequent stages (intensity levels). Each stage lasted 2 minutes. Load level was increased by changing incline angle (in degrees) of a treadmill by 0.5° with a constant speed of 3.0 m·s⁻¹.

Measurements and equipment

Analysis of physical fitness characteristics was performed based on the assessment of power, kinetics and response efficiency indices in two tests.

First test

Fast kinetics of response (T50) were defined for VO₂, VCO₂, V_E and HR in a 6 min standard load test (in the process of transition from the state of rest while standing on a treadmill) using monoexponential dependence according to S. Ward (Whipp & Ward, 1992).

The second test was performed after 1 minute rest.

Second test

We measured VO₂ levels, CO₂ emission, pulmonary ventilation and heart-rate. VO₂ max and anaerobic threshold (AT) were defined. These indices were registered during gradually increasing load. They were oriented towards a characteristic of ability for the quick development of function (fast kinetics indices), effective functional maintenance of work (functional efficiency indices) and for the evaluation of those response indices of CRS that characterize functional capacity limits of the athletes (power indices). Evaluation was performed based on maximum VO₂ levels, CO₂, pulmonary ventilation and HR, as well as indexes of relation between the said responses at AT and VO₂ max (V_E/VO₂ at AT, V_E/VO₂ at VO₂max, W_C/VCO₂ at AT, V_E/VCO₂ at VO₂m-ax, WO₂/HR at VO₂max, %VO₂AT from VO₂ max).

We used a system for ergometric and physiological assessment of athletes' functional abilities Meta Max 3B (Cortex, Germany).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis used the Statistical Package for

the Social Sciences (SPSS 26.0). The following methods of the mathematical statistics are descriptive statistics, selective method, criterion of consent of Shapiro Wilk, non-parametric criteria of Mann-Whitney. To determine the statistical significance of the differences between samples were used parametric criteria (t-test) for those samples, which corresponded to the normal distribution, and non-parametric criteria for small samples (Wilcoxon test) in other cases. A significance level (that is, the probability of error) was assumed to be $p \le 0.05$. The informativeness of the tests and indicators was recorded, evaluated under the standard conditions of measurement. ferent aspects of physical fitness of male and female dancers comprising in the abovementioned 12 couples. Body mass and height of the men were 70.7 ± 5.8 kg and $179.8\pm5,1$ cm, respectively; of women — 51.5 ± 4.3 kg and 164.9 ± 3.8 cm. We evaluated power, fast kinetics and response efficiency indices.

It should be noted that there were significant individual differences in body length and weight both among men and women. Thus, we took athletes' body mass into account when choosing most of the indices for evaluating functional abilities (Table 1). Statistically significant differences of reaction power indices (VO₂max, V_E, VCO₂) for male and female partners needed to apply special evaluation criteria for these reaction indices for male and female partners apart (Bria et al., 2011).

Results

During a gradually increasing load, we assessed the dif-

Table 1. Maximum indices of oxygen uptake, CO₂ emission, and thresholds of pulmonary ventilation response and HR at the maximum load intensity, and at the level of load intensity corresponding to dancers' anaerobic threshold

Indices	Men (n=12)			Women (n=12)			Differences of indices between men and women		
	\overline{x}	SD	с٧	\overline{x}	SD	с٧	t	р	
VO ₂ max, ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	54.8	3.1	5.7	47.5	3.5	7.4	t=5,85	p=0.000007	
V _e , ml⋅kg⁻¹⋅min⁻¹	1614.9	186.9	11.6	1247.5	132.9	10.7	t=8.18	p=0.000001	
VCO ₂ max, ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	57.9	2.7	4.7	50.3	2.5	5.0	t=8.02	p=0.000001	
VO ₂ at AT, ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	39.7	7.9	19.9	38.9	7.6	19.5	t=0.23	p=0.820795	
V _e at AT, ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	950.0	221.0	23.3	842.8	96.9	11.5	t=5.12	p=0.00004	
VCO ₂ at AT, ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	37.5	5.5	14.7	38.6	6.4	16.6	t=3.73	p=0.00152	
HR max, beat∙min-1	185.8	5.3	2.9	173.5	5.4	3.1	t=2.81	p=0.010105	
HR at AT, beat min ⁻¹	165.2	7.4	4.5	163.8	5.9	165.2	t=0.35	p=0.728307	

Legend: $VO_2 max$ - relative oxygen uptake; V_{e^-} minute ventilation; $VCO_2 max$ - maximum of carbon dioxide production; $VO_2 at AT$ - oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold; V_{e} at AT- minute ventilation at anaerobic threshold; $VCO_2 at AT$ - carbon dioxide production at anaerobic threshold; HR max-maximal heart rate; HR at AT- heart rate at anaerobic threshold.

Analysis of indices representing maximum oxygen consumption level, CO_2 emission, anaerobic threshold (AT) and HR revealed that maximum CRS response indices were high.

The value of indices at the AT level were high in men and had a significant range of individual differences. Thus, no statistically significant differences in CO_2 emission and HR indices were recorded.

CRS response indices at the AT level relative to maximum indices in women were at the level of 81.9 % for VO₂, 67.6% for V_E, 76.7 % for VCO₂ and 94.4 % for HR at AT, the same indices in men were as follows: 72.4% for VO₂, 58.8% for V_E, 64.8% for VCO₂, and 88.9% for HR. For VO₂ and HR max differences were significant.

aerobic energy supply responses and respiratory compensation of metabolic acidosis during high-intensity movement. For this, we considered initial kinetic indices and relative indices between response level, O2 consumption and CO2 emission levels. The latter are defined as characteristics of CRS response efficiency.

An analysis of fast kinetics of oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide emission, pulmonary ventilation and heart rate was made during a 6-minute test with a standard physical load. The analysis demonstrated that differences between male and female dancers were statistically insignificant (Table 2). A high level of individual differences in all indices was registered, as evaluated by CV.

Further, we considered indices characterizing fast kinetics of

There were no significant differences recorded in the indices of ventilation equivalent for O_2 and CO_2 , O_2 consumption

Table 2. Indices of fast kinetics of oxygen uptake, carbon dioxide emission, pulmonary ventilation and heart rate of dancers
--

Indices	I	Men (n=12)		Women (n=12)			Differences of indices between men and women		
	\overline{x}	SD	cv	\overline{x}	SD	CV	t	р	
T ₅₀ VO ₂ , s	28.3	5.6	19.8	29.3	4.3	14.7	t=0.45	p=0.629760	
T ₅₀ V _E , s	26.9	6.3	23.4	27.6	4.0	14.5	t=0.31	p=0.759366	
T ₅₀ CO ₂ , s	26.5	5.6	21.1	28.0	4.3	15.4	t=0.73	p=0.47.592	
T ₅₀ HR, s	28.0	5.0	17.6	28.3	4.9	17.3	t=1.32	p=0.199847	

Legend: $T_{s_0}VO_2$ - half-time reaction of oxygen uptake; $T_{s_0}V_E$ - half-time reaction of pulmonary ventilation; $T_{s_0}CO_2$ - half-time reaction of carbon dioxide production; $T_{s_0}HR$ - half-time reaction of heart rate.

ration at AT load level to $VO_2 \max$, O_2 consumption and HR of men and women (Table 3). Those indices mostly characterized efficiency of dancers' work when going through a gradually increasing test.

Differences in ventilation equivalent indices for O_2 and CO_2 at VO_2 max were statistically significant (p<0.05). The fact worth noticing is a high level of individual differences in ventilation equivalent for O_2 and CO_2 , for both men and women, in the period of reaching maximum rate of work at the AT inten-

sity level. This may be indicative of differences in the intensity of respiratory compensation of metabolic acidosis when reaching maximum response values, as well as at AT intensity level.

Analysis of the rapid kinetics and economy of couples with high and low skill levels showed a high level of requirements for the indicated reaction components, as well as significant differences (p < 0.05) of the indicated reaction characteristics in athletes of high and low qualifications.

A number of physical fitness characteristics of dancers in

Table 3. Characteristic of relation between VO_2 and HR, O_2 uptake at anaerobic threshold load level, as well as pulmonary ventilation with VO_2 and VO_2 in men and women

Indices	Men (n=12)			Wo	omen (n=1	12)	Differences of indices between men and women		
	\overline{x}	SD	CV	\overline{x}	SD	CV	t	р	
VO ₂ /HR at VO2 max, ml·min ⁻¹ ·beat ⁻¹	19.4	2.0	10.3	17.2	2.1	12.2	t=6.16	p=0.000003	
VO ₂ /HR at AT, ml·min ⁻¹ ·beat ⁻¹	16.2	2.1	13.0	14.3	2.1	14.7	t=5.02	p=0.000049	
VE/VCO ₂ at AT	27.9	3.2	11.5	24.7	2.8	11.3	t=0.61	p=0.549424	
VE/VCO ₂ at VO ₂ max	25.3	3.3	13.0	21.8	3.8	17.4	t=1.02	p=0.549424	
$V_{\rm E}/\rm VO_2$ at AT	23.9	2.7	11.3	21.7	2.7	12.5	t=1.74	p=0.095084	
V_{E}/VO_{2} at VO_{2} max	29.5	3.90	13.23	26.26	4.20	16.0	t=0.53	p=0.18952	
%VO ₂ AT from VO ₂ max ⁻¹	70.6	10.0	14.2	78.40	12.2	15.6	t=1.1	p=0.377802	

Legend: VO₂/HR at VO₂ max- oxygen heart rate at maximal oxygen uptake; VO₂/HR at AT, ml·min⁻¹.beat⁻¹- oxygen heart rate at anaerobic threshold; $V_{\underline{P}}/VCO_2$ at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at anaerobic threshold; $V_{\underline{P}}/VCO_2$ at VO₂ max- ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; $V_{\underline{P}}/VO_2$ at AT - ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at anaerobic threshold; $V_{\underline{P}}/VO_2$ at VO₂ max- ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at maximal oxygen uptake; $V_{\underline{P}}/VO_2$ at AT - ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at anaerobic threshold; $V_{\underline{P}}/VO_2$ at VO₂ max- ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at maximal oxygen uptake; WO_2 AT from VO₂max⁻¹- oxygen uptake percentage at anaerobic threshold from maximal oxygen uptake.

couples with high and lower level of specific mastery is represented in Table 4. We compared a group of athletes that had high average score for performing 5 dances (Group 1) with a group having lower athletic mastery indices (Group 2). Groups of athletes (pairs of dancers) with higher athletic mastery levels had higher values of VO_2 max and VE max indices, as well as fast kinetics and response efficiency indices, corresponding physical fitness of athletes.

Table 4. Basic physical fitness characteristics of pairs of dancers (n=24, 12 couples) having different athletic mastery levels

Indices	Couples with higher athletic mastery (first group, n=12)			Couples with lower athletic mastery (second group, n=12)			Differences of indices		
	\overline{x}	SD	с٧	\overline{x}	SD	CV	t	р	
T ₅₀ VO ₂ , s	24.1	2.1	8.71	31.1	2	6.43	t=6.02	p=0.00005	
Τ ₅₀ V _{ε′} s	23.0	1.9	8.26	32.4	3	9.26	t=7.21	p=0.000001	
T ₅₀ CO ₂ , s	26.1	2.1	8.05	27.2	2.2	8.09	t=7.86	p=0.000001	
T _{so} HR , s	21.1	2	9.48	28.8	2.3	7.99	t=6.37	p=0.000002	
VO_2/HR at VO_2 max, ml·min ⁻¹ beat ⁻¹	18,5	1,1	5,9	15,9	1,0	6,3	t=2.59	p==0.016533	
VO ₂ /HR at AT, ml·min ⁻¹ ·beat ⁻¹	15,8	1,1	7,0	12,9	1,0	7,8	t=3.15	p=0.004615	
%VO ₂ AT from VO ₂ max	80.6	5,0	6.20	66.1	5,0	7.56	t=1.04	p=0.278801	
$V_{\rm E}/\rm VO_2$ at $\rm VO_2max$	25.2	1,0	3.97	21.1	1.7	8.06	t=4.51	p=000173	
V _E /VO ₂ at AT	27.7	2.1	7.58	21.6	1.9	8.80	t=3.97026	p=0.000644	
V _E /VCO ₂ at VO ₂ max	26.9	2.1	7.81	20.0	2.0	10.00	t=6.13	p0.000004	
V _E /VCO ₂ at AT	27.7	2.0	7.22	21.9	1.5	6.85	t=5.49	p=0.000016	

Legend: $T_{s_0} VO_2$ half-time of oxygen uptake; $T_{s_0} V_E$ - half-time of minute ventilation; $T_{s_0} CO_2$ - half-time of carbon dioxide production; T50 HR - half-time of heart rate; VO_2/HR at VO_2 max- oxygen heart rate at maximal oxygen uptake; VO_2/HR at AT, ml· min⁻¹.beat⁻¹ - oxygen heart rate at anaerobic threshold; $%VO_2AT$ from VO_2max^{-1} - oxygen uptake percentage at anaerobic threshold from maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at VO_2 max- ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT- ventilatory equivalent for oxygen at anaerobic threshold; V_E/VO_2 at AT- ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at maximal oxygen uptake; V_E/VO_2 at AT - ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at anaerobic threshold.